ame	Level I (UIS) Lesson Plan Grading Rubric		
professor to assess student understanding of an criteria are aligned with state and national standa		eveloped lesson plans in Level I (UIS) Teacher Education courses. The rubric allows the effective lesson's basic components as demonstrated in the lesson plan. The evaluation ards as follows: Content Knowledge (CAEP 1.1, 1.2; InTASC 4,5,7, VDOE 1), Lesson 2), Student Engagement & Learning (CAEP InTASC 1, 2, 5, 8; VDOE 3), and Lesson Det 2).	
ubric Detail			
	Levels of Achievement		
Criteria	Proficient	Competent	Novice
Content Knowledge	100.00 % The plan demonstrated accurate knowledge and skills for the subject and age group.	75.00 % Minor misalignments in knowledge and skills for the subject and age group.	50.00 % Knowledge and skills were inaccurate or not appropriate for the
Weight 20.00%	the subject and age group.	exilio for the subject and age group.	subject and age group.
Lesson Alignment	100.00 %	75.00 %	50.00 %
Weight 20.00%	Lesson content and activities were aligned to SOLs and learning objectives.	Lesson content and activities were mostly aligned to SOLs and objectives.	Lesson content and activities misaligned to SOLs and learning objectives.
Student	100.00 %	75.00 %	50.00 %
Engagement Weight 20.00%	The plan included instructional strategies for active learning, reinforcement of learning goals, and the use of technology.	The plan was minimally-lacking in instructional strategies needed for proficiency.	Selected strategies unlikely to engage students toward reinforcement of learning goals.
Lesson	100.00 %	75.00 %	50.00 %
Detail & Design	The plan was comprehensive and provided enough detail so that another teacher could easily follow the plan.	Lesson components were minimally	The plan was missing components
Weight 20.00%	completed all components of the MH model as outlined in the template. The plan was neatly formatted and used excellent grammar, spelling, etc.	lacking (e.g., needed more detail, was wordy, omitted a minor component). Left professor with a few questions. There were 1 or 2 formatting or writing errors.	was difficult to follow, and left the professor with many unanswered questions. The plan had multiple formatting or writing errors (3+).

